

01  
02  
03  
04  
05  
06  
07 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
08 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

09 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) CASE NO.: CR04-147-RSL  
10 Plaintiff, )  
11 v. ) SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S.  
12 JANET LEE PAMPERIN, ) MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO  
13 Defendant. ) ALLEGED VIOLATIONS  
OF SUPERVISED RELEASE  
14

15 An evidentiary hearing on supervised release revocation in this case was scheduled before  
16 me on September 27, 2005. The United States was represented by AUSA Patricia C. Lally and  
17 the defendant by John W. Lundin. The proceedings were recorded on cassette tape.

18 Defendant had been sentenced on or about October 1, 2004 by the Honorable Robert S.  
19 Lasnik on charges of Bank Fraud and Unlawful Use of False Identification Documents and  
20 sentenced to 7 Months Custody, 5 years Supervised Release.

21 The conditions of supervised release included requirements that defendant comply with all  
22 local, state, and federal laws and with the standard conditions of supervision. Other special  
23 conditions included no firearms, narcotic addiction or drug dependency treatment and testing,  
24 refrain from alcohol and other intoxicants, submit to search, reside in a community corrections  
25 center for up to 60 days, provide access to financial information, no new credit without  
26 permission, do not possess identification documents in any but true legal name, pay outstanding

01 tax liabilities, and restitution in the amount of \$13, 889.30. (Dkt. 38).

02 Supervision commenced on November 1, 2004. On November 16, 2004, defendant's  
03 probation officer reported that defendant had failed to report to the probation office in Long  
04 Beach, California after being given permission to transfer her supervision to the Central District  
05 of California.. (Dkt. 41) A warrant was issued, then re-issued after the filing of a sworn  
06 declaration by defendant's probation officer. (Dkt. 47).

07 Defendant was advised in full as to the charge and as to her constitutional rights.

08 Defendant admitted the alleged violation and waived any evidentiary hearing as to whether  
09 it occurred.

10 I therefore recommend the Court find defendant violated her supervised release as alleged  
11 and that the Court conduct a hearing limited to the issue of disposition. The next hearing will be  
12 set before Judge Lasnik.

13 Pending a final determination by the Court, defendant has been detained.

14 DATED this 27th day of September, 2005.

15  
16 

17 Mary Alice Theiler  
18 United States Magistrate Judge  
19

20 cc: District Judge: Honorable Robert S. Lasnik  
21 AUSA: Patricia C. Lally  
22 Defendant's attorney: John W. Lundin  
23 Probation officer: Jennifer J. Tien  
24  
25  
26